

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasotra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/526,009	02/25/2005	Akiyoshi Fujii	1248-0772PUS1	4652
2292 BIRCH STEW	7590 12/07/200 ART KOLASCH & BI		EXAM	IINER
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747		KALAM, ABUL		
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2814	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/07/2007	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/526,009	FUJII ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
ABUL KALAM	2814	
Examiner	Art Unit	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE	REPLY FILED 20 November 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. 🛛	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this
	application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the
	application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
	for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time
	periods:
a)	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
h)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In

b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (a) above, it checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.77(b).

NO	IICE	OF.	Αŀ	Ψ.	<u>EA</u>	L

The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_ A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

|--|

3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
<ul><li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li></ul>
<ul><li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li></ul>
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>
7. ☑ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6.9.10.26-29.34 and 35</u> .
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

## REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. \( \bigcirc \) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

12. Note the	attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).
13. ☐ Other:	

/Phat X Cao/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2814 Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The prior art rejections are still maintained. Applicant argues that the Furusawa and Kawase reference are not proper prior art references because the instant Application claims priority to two Japanese Patent Applications, which predate the prior art references. However, the translations to these Japanese Patent Applications have not been filled, and thus, the rejections are still maintained. Regarding the rejection of claims 26-29 and 35 under 112, first paragraph, Applicant argues that the claimed TFT array substrate is disclosed at least in the Specification, page 6 line 17 through page 7, line 6. The argument is not persuasive because, nowhere in the specification, including pages 6-7, does the Applicant describe wherein the "mask material dropped not a semiconductor film" and that "has a portion formed by dropping a droplet," are different features. However, claim 26 recites the mask material and the conductor layer as two different features, and there is no such describition, in the sepecification of these limitations as two different features.